# **Annual Goals for Physics & Earth Science**

# 2010-2011

**Title:** To secure funds to support an Endowed Scholarship Fund

**Description:** The department established a goal, continued from the previous year, to

establish an endowed scholarship fund that will be accessible to physics

majors and minors and geology minors.

**Budget:** \$1,000.00

University

Goals:

1,2,3

Strategic Goals:

Responsibility: Chair

**Participation:** Dept. Faculty

**Results:** Another meeting which followed the preliminary meeting with the Foundation

moved the department faculty along a bit. Some information from the Foundation concerning contact information concerning former UNA majors

and minors.

**Actions:** It is evident that we will spend time locating potential donors. The department

chair and administrative assistant will begin by attempting to locate more former graduates, but place energy on developing communication lines with graduating students so that an ongoing 'discussion' continues for many reasons. One--just to know how they are and what is happening. Two--contact

for purposes as resources and to assist us in growing the Department.

**Improvements:** While not as much was accomplished as hoped. This effort is important to

departmental members in order to obtain money for scholarships and money

for seats in the planetarium.

**Title:** To secure improved, appropriate seating for the planetarium

**Description:** The department wishes to secure improved seating in the planetarium to make

its use more comfortable and inviting to students and community members who use the planetarium. Securing the seats by offering them to the public for purchase including a donation toward scholarships allows an integration of

two departmental goals.

**Budget:** \$1,000.00

**University Goals:** 

1,2,3,4,5

Strategic Goals:

Responsibility: Chair

**Participation:** Depart. Faculty

**Results:** Some small amount of monies has been donated for the purpose of seats ad

scholarship funds.

**Actions:** Little action was taken for this project, but it remains a high priority.

**Improvements:** In the fall, there needs to be a flurry of action beginning with creating an Open

House and invite guests who are potential donors to the programs. A Calendar August\_ print fliers/ handouts. Etc. August Meet w/ foundation to Plan October Open House September--Plan Winter Holiday Events to attract a

different group of potential donors.

**Title:** To enhance the Department's efforts in community outreach

**Description:** This goal is established on two levels. One is to feature the departmental

offerings to regional middle school and high school students as a recruiting mechanism. Two, the new Scanning Electron Microscope provides a unique foundation for providing services to certain businesses or corporation in the area. The department will begin to establish this link through promotional

contacts.

**Budget:** \$500.00

University

1,2,3,4,5

**Goals:** 

Strategic Goals:

Responsibility: Chair

**Participation:** Dept. Faculty

**Results:** Outreach Activities included Three faculty members teaching young students-

-fossils, geology, and astronomy on campus and in classrooms. (10 events) Ms. Driskell, Dr. Puckett, Dr. Blake, and Dr. Webb One faculty member presented a Great "Gems within Gems" program that had good attendance from the campus and local community. Dr. Brian Thompson's presentation bridged the areas of this department using beautiful and magnificent gems,

science, and discovery. Twenty-eight people attend the program. Dr. Statom continued working on the mineral collection housed in the Library--preparing data cards for the specimens. The collection is a gift from Mr. Jophn den

Boor.

**Actions:** 

**Improvements:** Dr. Statom will complete the project collaboratively with Mr. den Boor. Then

the department will host a PR session to promote viewing of the collection and to create an opportunity to thank Mr. den Boor. Faculty will promote in Earth Science Week in October and connect activities for young learners to

the event.

# Student Learning Outcomes for Physics & Earth Science

# 2010-2011

**Title:** Physics Major Research Skill Development

**Description:** Students will be engaged in investigations of mechanics, electricity,

magnetism, heat, sound, oprics, and modern physics.

**Budget:** \$300.00

Core 1,2,4,5

**Competencies:** 

25% Online:

50% Online:

**Core** 1,2,4,5

**Competencies:** 

**How Often:** Every 2 years

**Assessed this** 

Yes

Year?

**Responsibility:** Faculty/Physics

**Participation:** 

**Direct** 

#### Assessments

## Students' Writing

# Indirect Assessments

**Results:** Writing up research experiments is a critical piece of research literacy (QEP).

At this point in time, students generally are "at the beginning" of the process leading to successful scientific writing. First experiment/Expository Writing: a) one pair of students illustrated competency in writing about the physics concepts and b) one pair of students demonstrated competency in in understanding physics concepts as communicated through writing In the end,

three out of four continued to struggle, but they demonstrated lower level

competency than the fourth student. There were several drafts of

communicating research experiences and reviews of the physics concepts

related to the experiment.

**Curriculum:** There will not be changes to the structure of the course presentation. Students

demonstrated a level of competency for the skills expected.

**Actions:** In reflection, during the formative ad summative assessment stages the

faculty member considered whether the students should have been rotated. The intro different pairings for each experiment. Due to the pairs being balanced in motivation and work load, and the members of each pair were similar in dispositions, the groups were left intact throughout the semester.

### **Improvements:**

**Title:** Research

**Description:** Students will be able to engage in research, collect and interpret data, and

communicate outcomes.

**Budget:** \$0.00

**Core** 1,2,3,4,5

**Competencies:** 

25% Online:

50% Online:

**Core** 1,2,3,4,5

**Competencies:** 

**How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this** 

Year?

Yes

**Responsibility:** Faculty/Physics

**Participation:** 

Direct

**Assessments** 

**CSEM** 

**Faculty Created** 

Indirect Assessments

**Results:** The results of eight exams --the final being a standardized Concept Survey in

Electricity and Magnetism (CSEM) indicated that the learning outcomes of the early portion of the semester were more challenging to achieve. The overall performance was a acceptable level of meeting learning outcomes

collectively.

**Curriculum:** The faculty member is considering limiting the topics on the concepts

covered in the first two tests. Students met expectations of concepts at 58%--

a score that is consider good by physics educators.

**Actions:** 

**Improvements:** 

**Title:** Physics-Major Selected Topics

**Description:** Students will demonstrate comprehension of electric fields, electric potential,

magnetic fields, and electromagnetic fields through problem-solving

applications.

**Budget:** \$0.00

**Core** 2,4

**Competencies:** 

25% Online:

50% Online:

**Core** 2,4

**Competencies:** 

**How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this** 

Year?

Yes

**Responsibility:** 

**Participation:** 

**Direct** 

**Assessments** 

Indirect Assessments

**Results:** Over the last two years, three physics majors have spent at least two

semesters working on optics research: Emre Guler, David Keating, and David MacMillan. Also, two majors have worked on atomic research: Takehiro Akiyama and David Keating. During this time, four majors have worked in astronomy research: Terry Tidwell, Takehiro Akiyama, Kento Hatanaka, and Daniel Johnson. As can be seen in these lists, a couple students have worked in more than one research area. Along with guiding our majors in research, the faculty seeks opportunities for them to present their research. As a result, our majors have acquired recognition for their research. David MacMillan won the UNA Phi Kappa Phi Award for Single Author Research Paper (maybe this isn't the exact title?) in Spring 2011. At the state level, David Keating won the "Physics Section Best Student Paper" award at the 2010

meeting of the Alabama Academy of Science (AAS). Daniel Johnson and David MacMillan shared the "Physics Section Best Student Paper" at the 2011 meeting of the AAS. Previously, we have had students win "Best Student Presentation" awards at American Physical Society (APS) meetings.

**Curriculum:** No changes are planned.

**Actions:** 

**Improvements:** 

**Title:** Physics Major Conservative Forces

**Description:** Students will be able to understand and apply the unifying principle of

Conservative Forces (Potential Energy, Conservation of Energy)

**Budget:** \$0.00

**Core** 2,4

**Competencies:** 

**25% Online:** 

50% Online:

**Core** 2,4

**Competencies:** 

**How Often:** Every 2 years

Assessed this

Year?

Yes

**Responsibility:** Faculty/Physics

**Participation:** 

**Direct** 

**Assessments** 

faculty developed--not pre-formative-post

**Indirect** 

Assessments

**Results:** Item # 1. PH 447. Two types of assessments included five in class and five

out-of class exams. The average range of in class scores: 73% to 90%; the average range for out of class exams was 61% to 90%. Student achievement of learning outcomes was inconsistent/and random. Learning out comes were analyzed collectively. These scores are performance of physics majors--PH 447 Electricity and Magnetism. Item 2.PH 121 Learning objectives are carefully assessed in this course. The method used is a pre, mid-point or formative assessment, and the last is a post test. In this test specific content questions were developed to assess particular learning objectives throughout the time period of study. Providing this content, Question # 8 allows an

assessment of the concept at points through the learning period.

**Curriculum:** None

**Actions:** None are planned.

**Improvements:** 

**Title:** General Science Major w/ Second Major in Education

**Description:** Students will demonstrate the ability to teach inquiry-based lessons reflecting

science education reform.

**Budget:** \$0.00

**Core** 1,2,4,5

**Competencies:** 

25% Online:

50% Online:

**Core** 1,2,4,5

**Competencies:** 

**How Often:** Every year

Assessed this

Yes

Year?

**Responsibility:** Faculty/Methods

**Participation:** 

**Direct** 

Assessments

Faculty made

# Indirect Assessments

**Results:** A teaching guide and rubric is given to teaching candidates. Several lessons

are modeled based on inquiry based lesson plans developed by the faculty. Ninety percent demonstrated a clear understanding of how to develop and deliver an inquiry-based science lesson appropriate to his/her discipline. Forty percent demonstrated teaching using convergent questions and poor questioning. One hundred percent knew the science content taught and additional relative information. Eighty percent demonstrated the need to build

on prior knowledge and experiences. A copy of the rubric is attached.

**Curriculum:** Continue to emphasize the development of divergent questions; provide more

practice writing and asking questions in the classroom setting; Have students write a case study where teacher use prior knowledge and a case where they

do not. Students will write about the anticipated learning outcomes.

**Actions:** 

**Improvements:**